BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

)

Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc. Refurbishment of the SO2 Flue Gas Conditioning System RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

001 1 6 2003

Property Identification Number 01-27-100-00 PCB 04-55 (Tax Certification) STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

NOTICE

TO: Dorothy Gunn, Clerk
 Illinois Pollution Control Board
 State of Illinois Center
 100 W. Randolph Street, Suite 11-500
 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Steve Santarelli Illinois Department of Revenue 101 West Jefferson P.O. Box 19033 Springfield, Illinois 62794 Donna Redmon Tax Manager Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc. 1000 Louisiana, Suite 5800 Houston, Texas 77002

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Pollution Control

Board the <u>APPEARANCE AND RECOMMENDATION</u> of the Illinois Environmental

Protection Agency, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Date: October 14, 2003.

Robb H. Lavman

Special Assistant Attorney General ARDC #6205498

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Spring field, IL 62794-9276 Telephone: 217/782-5544 Facsimile: 217/782-9807 THIS FII TDD: 217/782-9143 ON REC

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

CLERK'S OFFICE

Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc. Refurbishment of the SO2 Flue Gas Conditioning System

PCB 04-55 (Tax Certification) STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

OCT 1 6 2003

Property Identification Number 01-27-100-00

APPEARANCE

I hereby file my Appearance in this proceeding on behalf of the Illinois Environmental

Protection Agency.

10 H pan

Robb H. Layman V Special Assistant Attorney General ARDC #6205498

DATED: October 14, 2003

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.

 1021 North Grand Avenue East

 P.O. Box 19276

 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

 Telephone: 217/782-5544

 Facsimile: 217/782-9807

 TDD: 217/782-9143

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 1 6 2003

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc. Refurbishment of the SO2 Flue Gas Conditioning System

Property Identification Number 01-27-100-00 PCB 04-55 (Tax Certification)

RECOMMENDATION

Now Comes the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), through its attorneys, and pursuant to Section 125.204 of the Illinois Pollution Control Board's ("Board") regulations, files the following Recommendation regarding the tax certification of air pollution control facilities pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 125.204.

- On April 29, 2003, the Illinois EPA received a request from Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc. for an Illinois EPA recommendation regarding the tax certification of air pollution control facilities pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 125.204, and noted the request as log number TC-03-04-29D. (Exhibit A).
- 2) The applicant's address is: Donna Redmon Tax Manager Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc. 1000 Louisiana Suite 5800 Houston, Texas 77002
- The air pollution control facilities in this request are located at R.R. #1, Box 200AA,
 Hennepin, Putnam County and consist of the following:

Refurbishment of the SO2 Flue Gas Conditioning System whose primary purpose

is to control particulate matter emissions. Because the primary purpose of this system is to reduce or eliminate air pollution, it is certified as a pollution control facility.

- 4. Section 11-10 of the Property Tax Code, 35 ILCS 200/11-10 (2000), defines "pollution control facilities" as: "any system, method, construction, device or appliance appurtenant thereto or any portion of any building or equipment, that is designed, constructed, installed or operated for the primary purpose of: (a) eliminating, preventing, or reducing air or water pollution ... or (b) treating, pretreating, modifying or disposing of any potential solid, liquid or gaseous pollutant which if released without treatment, pretreatment modification or disposal might be harmful, detrimental or offensive to human, plant or animal life, or to property."
- Pollution control facilities are entitled to preferential tax treatment, 35 ILCS 200/11-5 5. (2000).
- 6. Based on the information in the application and the purpose of the facilities, to prevent, eliminate or reduce air pollution, it is the Illinois EPA's engineering judgment that the described facilities may be considered "pollution control facilities," pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 125.200(a), with the primary purpose of eliminating, preventing, or reducing air pollution, or as otherwise provided in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 125.200. Therefore, the facilities are eligible for tax certification from the Board.
- 7. Therefore, the Illinois EPA recommends that the Board issue the requested tax certification.

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

By:

Robb H. Layman Special Assistant Attorney General

Of Counsel: Charles E. Matoesian Assistant Counsel

October 14, 2003

1021 North Grand Ave. E.P.O. Box 19276Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276Telephone:217/782-5544Facsimile:217/782-9807TDD:217/782-9143

☆ U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977-729-177

Form Approved OMB No. 158-R0013

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	STATE Illinois		
(Pursuant to Section 169 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended)	WATER OR AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY OR AUTHORITY Environmental Protection Agency		
Coue of 1994, as amended)	Environmental Protection Agency		

It is hereby certified that the control facility described in the attached application is in conformity with State and local programs and requirements for the control of \Box water pollution \swarrow air pollution, as required by section 169 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and regulations issued thereunder. According to the applicant, this control facility \checkmark was placed \Box will be placed in operation on $\Delta r = 1.22, 2001$ 10

April 22, 2001 , 19

In the case of control facility not yet in operation, this notice is certification only that the control facility, if constructed and operated in accordance with the application, will be in conformity with State and local programs or requirements for abatement or control of water or air pollution.

1. NAME OF APPLICANT	2. PERSON AUTHORIZED TO RECEIVE CERTIFICATION		
Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc.	Donna Redmon		
ADDRESS (Street, City, State, Zip Code)	TITLE		
2828 North Monroe Street Decatur, IL 62526	Tax Manager		
	ADDRESS (Street, City, State, Zip Code)		
	1000 Louisiana, Ste 5800, Houston, TX 77002		

3. DESCRIPTION OF CONTROL FACILITY

Refurbishment of Hennepin Power Station flue gas conditioning system to improve the performance of the electrostatic precipitator. The refurbishment includes:

• Installation of improved design of injection piping and nozzles.

4. LOCATION OF CONTROL FACILITY (Street, City, State, Zip Code)	5. RECEIVING BODY OR STREAM OF WATER, IF ANY
R. R. #1, Box 200AA, Hennepin, IL 61327	N/A

6. USE OF THE CONTROL FACILITY CERTIFIED HEREBY IS IN CONFORMITY WITH THE FOLLOWING APPLICABLE STATE PLAN OR REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CONTROL OF .

35 Illinois Administrative Code 212

ISSUED	SIGNED (Official of State Agency)
THIS DAY OF 19	
STATE CERTIFICATION NUMBER	TITLE

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977-729-178

Form Approved OMB No. 158-R0013

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY			
NOTICE OF FEDERAL CERTIFICATION			
(Pursuant to Section 169 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended)			
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to section 169 of the Inter-			
Code of Federal Regulations, the control facility identified herein	nal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, and Part 20 of Title 40 of the		
Is contified	With if constructed manufactured according to the line to the		
	Will, if constructed, reconstructed, acquired, erected, installed and operated in accordance with the accompanying application,		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	be certified		
a boing in compliance with the set of the table of the set			
as being in compliance with the applicable regulations of Federal ag	gencies and the general policies of the United States for cooperation with		
the States in the prevention and abatement of water pollution	ir pollution under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as		
amended (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.) or the Clean Air Act, as amended	d (42 U.S.C. 1857 et seq.). This certification is based on facts furnished		
by the applicant, and is valid for purposes of section 169 only to t	he extent that such facts are complete and accurate.		
	· · · · ·		
1. NAME OF APPLICANT	ADDRESS (Street, City, State, Zip Code)		
Dynegy Midwest Generation, Inc.	2828 North Monroe Street		
2. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			
37-1385459	Decatur, IL 62526		
3. PERSON AUTHORIZED TO RECEIVE CERTIFICATION:			
NAME	ADDRESS (Street, City, State, Zip Code)		
Donna Redmon	1000 Louisiana, Ste 5800, Houston, TX 77002		
TITLE			
Tax Manager			
4. DESCRIPTION OF CONTROL FACILITY	——————————————————————————————————————		
Refurbishment of Hennepin Power Station flue gas co	anditioning system to improve the performance of the		
electrostatic precipitator. The refurbishment includes	S. Y		
 Installation of improved design of injection piping a 	nd nozzles.		
	· ·		
· ·			
-			
5. LOCATION OF CONTROL FACILITY (Street, City, State, Zip Code)			
R. R. #1, Box 200AA, Hennepin, IL 61327 6. EFFLUENT DISCHARGED TO			
N/A	······································		
7. THE CONTROL FACILITY IDENTIFIED HEREIN 🗹 DOES 🗌 D OF WASTES, OR OTHERWISE.	OES NOT GENERATE PROFITS THROUGH THE RECOVERY AND SALES		
8. THE CONTROL FACILITY IDENTIFIED HEREIN . IS IS NOT A BUILDING THE ONLY FUNCTION OF WHICH IS THE ABATEMENT OR			
CONTROL OF POLLUTION, AS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 1.169-2 (2) (i) OF THE INCOME TAX REGULATIONS.			
9.			
SERVICE ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER 31, 1975			
ERTIES THAT WERE IN SERVICE ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER 31, 1975.			
10.			
B % OF THE AMORTIZABLE BASIS OF THE CONTROL FACILITY IS ALLOCABLE TO THE ABATEMENT OR CONTROL OF			
POLLUTION.			
ISSUED	SIGNATURE		
THIS DAY OF, 19			
STATE CERTIFICATION NUMBER TITLE			
STATE CERTIFICATION NUMBER			

なU.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977-729-618

Form Approved OMB No. 158-R0013

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION OF POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY (Pursuant to Section 169 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended)

NO CERTIFICATION MAY BE MADE UNLESS A COMPLETED APPLICATION FORM HAS BEEN RECEIVED (26 U.S.C. §169; 40 C.F.R. PART 20), IF NO CLAIM OF BUSINESS CONFIDENTIALITY ACCOMPANIES THIS INFORMATION WHEN IT IS RECEIVED BY EPA, IT MAY BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC BY EPA WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE. INFORMATION COVERED BY A CLAIM OF CONFIDENTIALITY WILL BE DISCLOSED BY EPA ONLY TO THE EXTENT, AND BY MEANS OF THE PROCEDURES, SET FOR TH IN TITLE 40, CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, PART 2, 41 C.F.R. 26902 et seq., SEPTEMBER 1, 1976.

A BUSINESS CONFIDENTIALITY CLAIM COVERING ALL OR PART OF THE INFORMATION FURNISHED IN OR WITH THIS APPLICATION MAY BE ASSERTED BY PLACING ON (OR ATTACHING TO) THE INFORMATION AT THE TIME IT IS SUBMITTED TO EPA, A COVER SHEET STAMPED OR TYPED LEGEND, OR OTHER SUITABLE FORM OF NOTICE EMPLOYING LANGUAGE SUCH AS "TRADE SECRET," "PROPRIETARY," OR "COMPANY CONFIDENTIAL." ALLEDGEDLY CONFIDENTIAL PORTIONS OF OTHERWISE NON-CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED, AND MAY BE SUBMITTED SEPARATELY TO FACILITATE IDENTIFICATION AND HANDLING BY EPA. IF THE APPLICANT DESIRES CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT ONLY UNTIL A CERTAIN DATE OR UNTIL THE OCCURRENCE OF A CERTAIN EVENT, THE NOTICE SHOULD SO STATE.

> Application is hereby made for certification of the pollution control facility described herein. The following information is submitted in accordance with provisions of Part 20 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations and to the best of my knowledge and belief is true and correct.

DATE	
April 22, 2003	
STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE 1000 Louisiana, Ste 5800 Houston, TX 77002	
UCTIONS CAREFULLY PRIOR TO COMPLETING FORM.	

Form Approved OMB No. 158--RC013

	SECTION A - IDENTITY AND LOC	CATION OF CONTROL FACILITY	· · ·	
1. FULL BUSINESS NAME OF APP	LICANT	2. TYPE OF OWNERSHIP		
Dynegy Midwest Generation	n, Inc.		THER (Describe)	
		PARTNERSHIP		
· · · · ·		CORPORATION		
3. PERSON TO CONTACT REGARI	DING THIS APPLICATION (Name an	d Title)	TELEPHONE	
3. PERSON TO CONTACT REGARDING THIS APPLICATION (Name and Title) TELEPHONE Starla Lacy, Director, Environmental 713-70				
ADD RESS (Street, City, State, Zip				
1000 Louisiana, Ste 5800, Houston, TX 7				
4. PERSON AUTHORIZED TO REC				
Donna F		Tax M	anager	
ADD RESS (Street, City, State, Zip 1000 Louisiana, Ste 5800, Houston, TX 77	-	· · ·		
5. BUSINESS NAME OF PLANT (If		State, Zin Codel	6. APPLICANT'S	
Hennepin Power Station			EMPLOYER	
R. R. #1, Box 200AA, He	nnenin II 61327		IDENTIFICATION NO.	
			37-1385459	
	SECTION B - DESCRIPTION			
1. DESCRIBE THE FACILITY FOR NUMBER, SUBMIT DESIGN CRI		HT. INCLUDE TYPE OF EQUIPMEN ND/OR PERFORMANCE SPECIFIC/		
FUNCTION AND OPERATION O	. *			
Refurbishment of Hennepin		litioning system to improve	the performance of the	
electrostatic precipitator. Th	e refurbishment includes:			
			-	
 Installation of improved de 	sign of injection piping and	nozzles.		
54 				
2. IS FACILITY IN OPERATION?	A. IF "YES" DATE FACILITY	B. IF "NO" DATE FACILITY	3. IF FACILITY CONSISTS OF A	
	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION	IS EXPECTED TO BE	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE-	
2. IS FACILITY IN OPERATION?				
V YES 🗌 NO	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION		
 YES NO DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 Ifter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED V	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of th WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS?	
YES NO A. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CA	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED WASSOCIATED WASSOCIA	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS?	
VES NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CA REDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (<i>fter December 31, 1975 ONLY</i>) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED WASSOCIATED WASSOCIATED WASSOCIATED WAS WIND EXTEND NG COSTS? YES WIND EXTEND	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VINC	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS?	
YES NO NO VES NO NO IOLES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CA REDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □5% OR □ LESS	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED W APACITY YES INO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES INO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC RCENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS?	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CAREDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (ter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PEF ING INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VINC	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CAREDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (ter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PEF ING INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VNC ICENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CAREDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (ter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PEF ING INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VNC ICENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CAREDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES INO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PEP IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VNC ICENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CAREDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES INO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used increase the output or care for the total operation. a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE TOTAL OPERATION. 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES INO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CAREDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE FOREGOIN 1. DESCRIBE PROCESS OR ACTIV 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES INO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used INCREASE THE OUTPUT OR CAREDUCE THE TOTAL OPERATI a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE FOREGOIN 1. DESCRIBE PROCESS OR ACTIV 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES NO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES NO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF ITY IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION ECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used increase the output or careed on the facility is used increase the total operation. a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE INCREASE OR ACTIVE Electric Generating Unit 2. STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASE 49 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (ter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES INO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER- IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF ITY IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH ISIFICATION (SIC) CODE NUMBER 11	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION EECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM COMMERCIAL PROCESS OR ACTIN I FACILITY IS OF WILL BE USED.	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used increase the output or careed the total operation of the formation of the formation	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED V NG COSTS? YES NO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES NO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER- IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF ITY IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH ISIFICATION (SIC) CODE NUMBER 11 ER PROPERTY IN CONNECTION WITH Y	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION EECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM COMMERCIAL PROCESS OR ACTIN I FACILITY IS OF WILL BE USED.	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used increase the output or care reduce the total operations. a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED THE TOTAL OPERATION IN THE DATA USED THE PROCESS OR ACTIVELIECTRIC Generating Unit 2. STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASS 49 3. DATE THAT EACH PLANT OR OTHE 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (ter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED NG COSTS? YES INO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES INO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER- IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF ITY IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH ISIFICATION (SIC) CODE NUMBER 11	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION EECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM COMMERCIAL PROCESS OR ACTIN I FACILITY IS OF WILL BE USED.	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used increase the output or careed on the facility is used increase the total operation. a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE ELECTRIC Generating Unit 2. STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLAS 49 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED V NG COSTS? YES NO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES NO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER- IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF ITY IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH ISIFICATION (SIC) CODE NUMBER 11 ER PROPERTY IN CONNECTION WITH Y	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION EECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM COMMERCIAL PROCESS OR ACTIN I FACILITY IS OF WILL BE USED.	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED ATE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a).	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used increase the output or care reduce the total operations. a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED THE TOTAL OPERATION IN THE DATA USED THE PROCESS OR ACTIVELIECTRIC Generating Unit 2. STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASS 49 3. DATE THAT EACH PLANT OR OTHE 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED V NG COSTS? YES NO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES NO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER- IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF ITY IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH ISIFICATION (SIC) CODE NUMBER 11 ER PROPERTY IN CONNECTION WITH Y	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION EECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM COMMERCIAL PROCESS OR ACTIN I FACILITY IS OF WILL BE USED.	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED NTE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a). VITY XMMENCE OPERATION. DATE Unit 1-June 1953/Unit 2-May	
 ✓ YES □ NO 4. DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used increase the output or care reduce the total operations. a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF □ 5% OR □ LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED THE TOTAL OPERATION IN THE DATA USED THE PROCESS OR ACTIVELIECTRIC Generating Unit 2. STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASS 49 3. DATE THAT EACH PLANT OR OTHE 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED V NG COSTS? YES NO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES NO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER- IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF ITY IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH ISIFICATION (SIC) CODE NUMBER 11 ER PROPERTY IN CONNECTION WITH Y	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION EECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM COMMERCIAL PROCESS OR ACTIN I FACILITY IS OF WILL BE USED.	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED NTE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a). VITY XMMENCE OPERATION. DATE Unit 1-June 1953/Unit 2-May	
 YES NO DOES THE FACILITY (installed a tion with which the facility is used increase the output or careed on the total operation of the facility is used increase the total operation. a. IF THE ANSWER TO ANY OF 5% OR 1 LESS b. IF NONE OF THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE FOREGOIN FURNISH THE DATA USED TO THE Electric Generating Unit 2. STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLAS 49 3. DATE THAT EACH PLANT OR OTHE A. Hennepin Power Station 	WAS PLACED IN OPERATION April 2001 (fter December 31, 1975 ONLY) AFF) MOST DIRECTLY ASSOCIATED V NG COSTS? YES NO EXTEND NG COSTS? YES NO THE ABOVE IS "YES" IS THE PER- IG INCREASES, EXTENSIONS, OR TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF ITY IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH ISIFICATION (SIC) CODE NUMBER 11 ER PROPERTY IN CONNECTION WITH Y	IS EXPECTED TO BE PLACED IN OPERATION EECT THE OPERATING UNIT (of the WITH THE FACILITY IN ANY OF T THE USEFUL LIFE? YES VIC ACENTAGE BY WHICH THE OPERA REDUCTIONS EXCEEDING 5% STA (S) GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO ITEM COMMERCIAL PROCESS OR ACTIN I FACILITY IS OF WILL BE USED.	BUILDING, IS IT EXCLUSIVE- LY FOR CONTROL OF POLLU- TION? YES NO e plant or other property in connec- HE FOLLOWING WAYS? TING UNIT WAS EFFECTED NTE THE RATIONALE AND 4(a). VITY XMMENCE OPERATION. DATE Unit 1-June 1953/Unit 2-May	

Form Approved OMB No. 158--R0013

~~~~							
40	SECTION C - DESCRIPTION OF COMMERCIAL PROCESS OR ACTIVITY 4A. IF FACILITY IS OR WILL BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH MORE THAN ONE PLANT OR PROPERTY, AND IF ONE OR MORE OF THE PLANTS OR PROPERTIES IN CONNECTION WITH WHICH THE FACILITY IS OR WILL BE USED WAS NOT IN OPERATION PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 1976, STATE THE PERCENTAGE OF THE COST OF FACILITY WHICH IS ALLOCABLE TO THE PLANT(S) OR PROPERTY(IES) IN OPERATION PRIOR TO THAT DATE%						
48.	DESCRIBE THE RE.	HE REASONING AND FURNISH THE DATA USED TO ARRIVE AT THE PERCENTAGE GIVEN IN RESPONSE TO					
	N/A						
						•	
	· .				•		•
							·
БΑ.				NS IN ADDITION TO THE BLE TO THE ABATEMEN			TATE THE
58.	DESCRIBE THE RE	ASONING AND FUI	RNISH THE DAT	TA USED TO ARRIVE AT	THE PERCENTA	GE GIVEN IN RI	ESPONSE TO
:	N/A			·		•	•
	•		•	· · ·			· · ·
ļ				•			-
				•			
┢──	SECTION D - WAST	EWATER CHARAC	TERISTICS (To	be completed only in conn	ection with facilitie	s for the control	of water pollution)
	AND OF WASTES OR BY-PRODUCTS REMOVED, ALTERED, DISPOSED OF, OR PREVENTED. IF FEASIBLE, ATTACH PROCESS FLOW OR SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM WITH MATERIAL BALANCES OF THE WASTE OR WASTEWATER STREAM OR DISCHARGE. REPORT EITHER ON ACTUAL BASIS OR, IF FACILITY IS NOT YET IN OPERATION, ON DESIGN BASIS (Use Standard Units - pounds/gallon, grams/liter, ppm, etc.). 1. HOURS PLANT OR PROPERTY IS IN OPERATION: a. Per Month: Min Max Avg b. Per Year: Min Max Avg						
	WASTEWATER						
!	DISCHARGE IN (A) GALLONS PER	a. Min		Avg	ſ	Max	
	MINUTE, (B) MIL- LIONS OF GALLONS	b. Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
З.	POLLUTANTS OR W	ASTE PRODUCTS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
З.а		Min	Мах	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
З.Ь	· · ·	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
3.c		Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
3.d		Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
3.0		Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
3.f		Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
3.g	, 	Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
3.н		Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
3.i	•. 	Min	Мах	Avg	Min	Max	Avg
3,j		Min	Max	Avg	Min	Max,	Avg

,

# Form Approved

		UMB NG. 158-HUUIS	
4. DESCRIBE METHOD (GRAB OR COMPOSITE) AND FREQUENCY OF SAMPLING AND METHODS USED TO DETERMINE QUANTITIES OF POLLUTANTS.			
5. IS FACILITY A PRET	REATMENT FACILITY TO PREPARE WASTEWATER FOR F	RECEIPT BY ANOTHER FACILITY, PUBLIC OR	
PRIVATE, FOR FUR	THER TREATMENT? IF "YES", SKIP ITEMS 6, 7 AND 8 AND	DIDENTIFY RECEIVING FACILITY. YES NO	
	Y OR STREAM OF WATER INTO WHICH WASTEWATER FR ACILITY IS USED, IS OR WILL BE DISCHARGED.	OM THE PLANT OR PROPERTY, IN CONNECTION N/A	
7. DESCRIBE LOCATIO	N OF DISCHARGE OR OUTFALL WITH RESPECT TO RECE	IVING WATERS.	
	BODY OR STREAM OF WATER A NAVIGABLE WATERWAY IF "NO," PROCEED TO ITEM 9.	OF THE UNITED STATES OR A TRIBUTARY THEREOF?	
	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS DISCHARGE PERMIT BE	EEN APPLIED FOR? YES NO IF "NO,"	
EXPLAIN, THEN	PROCEED TO ITEM 9.		
B. IF ANSWER TO I	TEM 8A IS "YES" HAS A U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	DISCHARGE PERMIT BEEN ISSUED? 🗌 YES 🔲 NO	
(1) IF "YES," AT	TACH COPY OR PROVIDE PERMIT NUMBER	OMIT ITEM 9.	
	· · · · ·	~	
	71		
9. IF ITEM 88 HAS NOT REQUIREMENTS AN	BEEN ANSWERED "YES," IDENTIFY APPLICABLE STATE	AND LOCAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	MISSION CHARACTERISTICS (To be completed only in conne		
DESCRIBE THE EFF	ECT OF POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY IN TERMS OF QU OF WASTES OR BY-PRODUCTS REMOVED, ALTERED, DISP	UANTITY AND QUALITY OF EMISSION AND OSED OF OR PREVENTED. IF FEASIBLE.	
ATTACH PROCESS F	LOW OR SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM WITH MATERIAL BALAN THER ON ACTUAL BASIS, OR, IF FACILITY IS NOT YET I	CES OF POLLUTANTS IN THE EMISSION	
		0Max744Avg686	
I. HOUNSPEANT ON F		400 Max. 8,300 Avg. 7,400	
	b. Per Year: Min	Max Avg	
2. POLLUTANTS TO BE CONTROLLED	a. Particula	ites	
(Specify each)	b. c.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	d.		
3. VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE OF EMIS-	WITHOUT POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY	WITH POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY	
SION (actual cubic feet/minute)	MinA309,700 Avg at oF	MinMaxAvgat oF	
	a. Min MaxAvg at ^o F	MinMaxAvgat °F	
	<u></u>		
4. CONCENTRATION	b. MinMaxAvg at ^o F	Min MaxAvg at ^o F	
(in volume % of gaseous components)	c. Min Max Avg at °F	Min MaxAvgat ^o F	
	d. Min MaxAvg at OF	Min MaxAvg at °F	
5. CONCENTRATION (grains/cubic feet of all particulate matter)	Min MaxAvg at oF	0.0123 0.0189 0.015 267 of Min MaxAvg at of	
6. CONCENTRATION (grains/cubic feet of any specific particu- late listed in E-2 above)	Min Max Avg at oF	Min MaxAvg at °F	

EPA Form 3300-1 (Rev. 8-77)